



Supercritical Fluid Chromatography: a New Technology?

Muneo Saito

JASCO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan

JASCO global homepage: <http://www.jascoinc.com>

Introduction

Even though Supercritical Fluid Chromatography (SFC) was first demonstrated by Klesper et al. in 1962, 6 – 7 years earlier than High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), its history is unfortunate and it has never been a main stream of chromatography. Why? Partly because an automated liquid chromatograph (LC), namely, an amino acid analyzer by Spackman, Stein and Moore, had already been commercially available in the late 1950's and there were actual needs for LC, while there were no such needs for SFC except for some exotic samples such as metalloporphyrins and metallic chelates at that time. Rapid development of HPLC occurred in the 1970's overshadowed the development of SFC taken place at the same time. However, there are remarkable research works done in the 1960's, the 1970's and the 1980's that realized the today's modern SFC, and this poster will review these works.

Research works in the 1960's

Klesper, Corwin and Turner first demonstrated Supercritical Fluid Chromatography (SFC) in "Communications to the editor entitled *High Pressure Gas Chromatography above Critical Temperatures*" (1) in 1962. They used dichlorodifluoromethane ($T_c = 112^\circ\text{C}$) and monochlorodifluoromethane ($T_c = 96^\circ\text{C}$) and at the pressures above 1000 psi and 1400 psi, respectively, to elute Ni etioporphyrin II and etioporphyrin II from polyethylene glycol stationary phase. They even stated "The porphyrins could be recovered at the outlet valve." This means that they already predicted the possibility of preparative SFC. Figure 1 shows the historical SFC apparatus described in ref. (1).

Sie, Beersum and Rijnders published a series of articles on "High-Pressure Gas Chromatography with Supercritical Fluids" in Separation Science (2-5) in 1966 and 1967. In these articles, they extensively used carbon dioxide as mobile phase and thoroughly studied its behavior from both theoretical and experimental points of view.




Figure 1. The first SFC Apparatus by Klesper et al. in 1962 (ref-1).




Figure 3. Chromatograms of n-paraffins demonstrated by Sie et al. (ref-2).

A liquid is ordinarily 1000 times denser than a gas; at 1000 atmospheres, however, gas molecules crowd together with a liquid-like density. At such densities intermolecular forces becomes very large, and are undoubtedly capable of extracting big molecules from the stationary phase. Thus in effect, nonvolatile components are made volatile." They also proposed an approximation of Hildebrand solubility parameter for a supercritical fluid. They used various gases including He, N_2 , CO_2 and NH_3 , and examined retention behavior of various substances such as purines, nucleosides and nucleotides, steroids, sugars, terpenes, amino acids, proteins, carbowaxes, etc. Unfortunately, such convergence had never occurred.

Research works in the 1970's

Jentoft and Gouw reported "Pressure-Programmed Supercritical Fluid Chromatography" (8) in 1970, and applied their SFC system to separation of wide molecular range mixtures such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and polystyrene. In the article they mentioned that the chromatograph is basically the same as the high resolution liquid chromatograph (note that the term HPLC had not yet appeared). It is remarkable that SFC had been developed to this stage while High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) was still in cradle stage. In 1972, they reported automated fraction collection in SFC (9). It should be noted that such sophisticated fraction collector had been developed a long before HPLC was used in preparative work.

Novotny, Bertsch and Zlatkis studies "Temperature and Pressure Effect in Supercritical Fluid Chromatography" (10). In 1973, Bartmann and Schneider examined physico-chemical aspects of SFC with carbon dioxide (11).

Hartmann and Klesper described "Preparative Supercritical Fluid Chromatography of Styrene Oligomers" (12,13) in 1977. They analyzed fractions with a mass spectrometer.

Randall and Wahrhaftig reported SFC-MS "Dense Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometer Interface" (14) in 1978.




Figure 4. SFC system with pressure programming by Jentoft and Gouw in 1970. (ref-8).




Figure 5. Separation of polystyrene 900 with pressure programming by Jentoft and Gouw in 1970. Mobile phase: n-pentane with 5% methanol. (ref-8).




Figure 6. Pressurized automated fraction collector by Jentoft and Gouw in 1972. (ref-9).




Figure 7. Sophisticated SFC system capable of pressure, temperature and modifier composition programming by Klesper and Hartmann in 1977. (ref-10).




Figure 8. Separation of polystyrene 2200 with pressure programming by Klesper and Hartmann in 1977. Mobile phase: n-pentane with 10% methanol.

Research works in the 1980's

For SFC, the 1980's was an active decade for SFC and related technology. Since the 1980's, supercritical carbon dioxide became the most preferred fluid in SFC as in commercial Supercritical Extraction (SFE) already in use because it is inexpensive, non-toxic, non-flammable and it has relatively low critical temperature and pressure ($T_c = 31.3^\circ\text{C}$, $P_c = 72.9\text{ atm}$).

Open tubular column SFC. In 1981, the research groups of Novotny and Lee demonstrated open tubular column SFC (15). They used 50 μm I.D. fused silica column of which inner wall was coated with stationary phase; typically dimethyl polysiloxane. This seemed to solve the inherent drawback of packed column SFC that the pressure drop across the column reduces column efficiency (10). Later, open tubular column SFC systems were marketed by Lee Scientific, UT, USA. However, it turned out that there were several serious instrumental issues. The most important SFC parameter backpressure was applied by a flow dependent restrictor and it could not be controlled independent of flow rate. It was difficult to add polar modifier partly because the standard detector was FID and partly because a syringe pump was used to deliver very a low flow rate of CO_2 . Although the above research groups published applications of open tubular column SFC extensively, for the above reason, open tubular SFC did not become the main stream of SFC.

Packed column SFC. All researchers used packed columns until the advent of open tubular column SFC. In 1982, Gere, Board and McManigill reported "Supercritical Fluid Chromatography with Small Particle Diameter Packed Columns" (16). They modified H-P HPLC apparatus for SFC. Although it is said that the pressure across the column does not allow high efficiency in SFC when a small particle column is used (10), they successfully demonstrated that 3, 5 and 10 μm particle columns performed better especially in high linear velocity region. Later, H-P had started to market SFC systems but the products were transferred to Berger Instrument, and recently Berger Instrument was acquired by Thar Instruments.

In 1985, Sugiyama and the author's group demonstrated directly coupled SFE-SFC system (17), and applied it to extraction and separation analysis of coffee extract using a PDA detector. The most crucial device in the modern SFC is a backpressure regulator that allows the pressure control independent of the flow rate. The author's group reported an electronically controlled backpressure regulator that is suitable for SFE and SFC both for analytical and preparative use (18), and JASCO started to market SFE and SFC systems in 1985. As competition in drug discovery among pharmaceutical companies got stronger, a high-throughput separation system has become indispensable. SFC has been chosen as fast separation means and recognized as a reliable chromatography method.




Figure 9. HETP vs. linear velocity: curve A, data from SFC on 10 μm packing; curve B, SFC on 5 μm ; curve C, SFC on 3 μm ; curve D, HPLC on 10 μm ; curve E, HPLC on 3 μm .

Figure 9. Gere et al showed higher efficiency of SFC than HPLC using micro particle columns. (ref-16).




Figure 10. SFE-SFC analysis of coffee powder. A peak at about 9 min is of caffeine. Note that PDA detector was used as early as 1985 in SFC. (ref-17).




Figure 11. Electronic controlled back pressure regulator dedicated to SFE and SFC. (ref-18).

Ultra-fast SFC in 2008

Novotny's (10) and other research groups stated that a small particle column is not suitable for SFC because the pressure across the column will decrease the column efficiency. It may be true if the mobile phase is truly in Supercritical State where the solvent power of the fluid changes greatly by the pressure and temperature. However, in modern SFC, a polar modifiers is added by a few to several 10s of %. This causes the mobile phase to be in the sub-critical state where the pressure does not change the solvent power drastically. Therefore, the smaller the particle size, the higher the column efficiency is obtained as in HPLC.

Figure 12 demonstrate the ultra-fast separation by SFC by the authors group (24).




Figure 12. Ultra-fast separation by SFC. A: normal separation. B: chiral separation.

Conclusion

As we have reviewed, the fundamentals of SFC including theory and hardware had already been established in the 1970's, and SFC was just about to take off. However, in the author's opinion, open tubular SFC appeared in the early 1980's and its marketing strategy confused users and made the era *lost decade* of SFC even though R&D activity was very active among a limited number of research groups.

Reviewing the history of HPLC, one may realize that application needs drove the development of columns and instrumentation. In the 1990's, SFC finally found the true application needs. It is the author's pleasure, as one of the oldest but still active researchers who has been working since the early 1980's, to see SFC is now widely accepted and is truly growing.

This review is mainly from instrumental point of view and there are many good research works contributed to the development of SFC that were not mentioned in this poster. The author does not intend to neglect these excellent works.

References

- (1) K. Klesper, A.H. Corwin and D.A. Turner, *J. Org. Chem.*, **27**, 700 (1962)
- (2) S.T. Sie, W. Van Beersum, and G.W.A. Rijnders, *Sep. Sci.*, **1**(4), 459 (1966)
- (3) S.T. Sie and G.W.A. Rijnders, *Sep. Sci.*, **2**(6), 699 (1967)
- (4) S.T. Sie and G.W.A. Rijnders, *Sep. Sci.*, **2**(6), 729 (1967)
- (5) S.T. Sie and G.W.A. Rijnders, *Sep. Sci.*, **2**(6), 755 (1967)
- (6) N.K. Karayannis, A.H. Corwin, E.W. Baker, E. Klesper and J.A. Walter, *Anal. Chem.*, **40**, 11, 1736 (1968)
- (7) C.C. Giddings, M.N. Myers and J.W. King, *J. Chromatogr. Sci.*, **7**, 277 (1969)
- (8) R.E. Jentoft and T.H. Gouw, *J. Chromatogr. Sci.*, **8**, 138 (1970)
- (9) R.E. Jentoft and T.H. Gouw, *Anal. Chem.*, **44**, 681 (1972)
- (10) M. Novotny, W. Beartch and Zlatkis, *J. Chromatogr.*, **61**, 17 (1971)
- (11) D. Bartmann and G.M. Schneider, *J. Chromatogr.*, **83**, 135 (1973)
- (12) E. Klesper and W. Hartmann, *J. Polym. Lett. Ed.*, **15**, 9 (1977)
- (13) W. Hartmann and E. Klesper, *J. Polym. Lett. Ed.*, **15**, 713 (1977)
- (14) L.G. Randall and A.L. Wahrhaftig, *Anal. Chem.*, **50**, 1705 (1978)
- (15) M. Novotny, S.R. Springton, P.A. Peaden, J. Fjeldsted, and M.L. Lee, *Anal. Chem.*, **53**, 407A (1981)
- (16) D. R. Gere, R. Board and D. McManigill, *Anal. Chem.*, **54**, 736 (1982)
- (17) K. Sugiyama, M. Saito, T. Hondo, and M. Senda, *J. Chromatogr.*, **332**, 107 (1985)
- (18) M. Saito, Y. Yamauchi, H. Kashiwazaki and M. Sugawara, *Chromatographia*, **25**, 801 (1988)
- (19) P. A. Mourier et al. "Supercritical and Subcritical Fluid Chromatography on a Chiral Stationary Phase for the Resolution of Phosphine Oxide Enantiomers", *Anal. Chem.*, **57**, 2819 (1985)
- (20) S. Hara, A. Dobashi, K. Kinoshita, T. Hondo, M. Saito and M. Senda, *J. Chromatogr.*, **371**, 153 (1986)
- (21) M. Perrut and P. Jusforgues, *Entropie*, **132**, 3 (1986)
- (22) Y. Yamauchi and M. Saito, "Fractionation of Lemon-Peel Oil by Supercritical Fluid Chromatography," Perrut Ed., Proceeding of the 1st International Symposium on Supercritical Fluids, Nice, Vol. 1, p. 503 (October 1988)
- (23) M. Saito and Y. Yamauchi, "Preparative supercritical fluid chromatography and its applications to chiral separation," Hatano and Hanai, Eds., *Int. Symp. Chromatogr.*, 35th Anniv. Res. Group Liq. Chromatogr., pp.863-866 (1995).
- (24) Unpublished data by the author's group, 2008.